Architecture Styles and Hypes

Michael Hilton Claire Le Goues

Christopher Meiklejohn

October 15, 2020



Administrativia

- Homework 4 will be released today.
- No recitation Friday.
- Wednesday recitation bring questions or we end early!
 - Work through problems on the previous midterms many students found this helpful.
 - Any questions on the previous midterm questions bring them to recitation to discuss as a class.
- Midterm on October 22nd.

Learning Goals

- Understand history of Microservices
- Reason about tradeoffs of Microservices architectures.



MICROSERVICES





institute for SOFTWARE RESEARCH



institute for SOFTWARE RESEARCH



institute for SOFTWARE RESEARCH

Netflix

AppBoot



(as of 2016)

Carnegie Mellon University School of Computer Science

institute for **SOFTWARE**

RESEARCH

institute for SOFTWARE RESEARCH



(as of 2016)

institute for

Microservices





UBERGROUPON[®]

A monolithic application puts all its functionality into a single process...



... and scales by replicating the monolith on multiple servers



A microservices architecture puts each element of functionality into a separate service...

... and scales by distributing these services across servers, replicating as needed.









Uber



institute for software research School of Computer Science

institute for SOFTWARE RESEARCH

Services	756.000ms	1.512s	2.268s	3.024s	3.78
– rtapi	3.775s : /riders/:rideruuid/pickup ·				
 passport 	-3.000ms : resolveregion -			,	
- cn	-3.000ms : resolveregion -				
– on	162.000ms : getclient				
- halyard	- 58.000ms : gettreatmentresult -				
- optic	- 62.000ms : /client/:uuid/ping -				
- geospatial	6.000ms : supply.rpc.multiquery				
- paxon	- 3.000ms : /eyeball/:				
- ueta	- 33.000ms : /v2/eta/predict-many				
- onedirection	- 4.000ms : /fitted_multi -				
- onedirection	- 3.000ms : /fitted_multi -				
- ueta	- 32.000ms : /v2/eta/predict-many				
- ultron	4.000ms : /classify .				
- ultron	- 3.000ms : /classify -				
- api	- 3.085s : verifypaymentprofile				
- demand				. 230	0.000ms : /client/:uuid/j
- optic					8.000ms : /cli
- optic					100.000ms :
- demand					45.000ms-: /
- trident					55.000
– on					6.000m
- passport					44.(

(as of 2016)

institute for SOFTWARE RESEARCH

Servic	005		111.000ms	222.0	00ms	333.000ms
- dis	500	555.000ms : /candidates/supply/rank				
- 9	eospatial	 37.000ms : supply.rpc.multiquery 				
- 54	upply		- 44.000	ms : supply.{uuid}.read -		
- 84	upply		- 35.00	0ms : supply.{uuid}.read ·		
- 54	upply		- 73.0	00ms : supply.{uuid}.read		
- 54	upply			90.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read ·		
- 54	upply			47.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read		
- 54	upply			41.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read		
- 54	upply			90.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read ·		
- 54	upply			51.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read		
- 54	upply			31.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read ·		
- 54	upply			53.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read		
- 54	upply			53.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read-		
- 54	upply			85.000ms : supply.{uuid}.rea	d	
- 54	upply			33.000ms : supply.{uuid}	read	
- 54	upply			33.000ms : supply.(au	id}.read	
- 54	upply			62.000ms : sup	ply.{uuid}.read	
- 54	upply			46.000ms :	supply.{uuid}.read	
- 54	upply			33.000mm	: supply.(uuid).read	
- 54	upply			32.00	0ms : supply.{uuid}.read	
- 54	upply				47.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read	
- 54	upply				28.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read	
- 54	upply				48.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read	
- 54	upply				46.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read	
- 54	upply				53.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read	
- 54	upply				65.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read	
- 54	upply				25.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read	
- 54	upply				34.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read	
- 54	upply				43.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read	
- 54	upply				55.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read	
- 8	upply				28.000ms : supply. [uuid].read	
- 8	upply				74.000ms : supply.{uuid}.read	
- 8	upply				29.000ms : supply.[uuid].read	
- 8	upply				61.000ms : supply.{uuid}.rei	ad -
- 54	upply				30.000ms : supply.{uuid}	.read -



Services		1.515s	3.031s	4.546s	6.062s
 accountmgmt 	-7.577s : accountmgmtservice::getallmerchants	ş .			
 accountmgmt 	-58.104ms : sql select				
 accountmgmt 	-57.771ms : mysqldb:select				
 accountmgmt 	 180.370ms : sql select 				
 accountmgmt 	 180.120ms : mysqldb:select 				
 accountmgmt 	 5.316ms : sql select 				
 accountmgmt 	 4.976ms : mysqldb:select 				
 accountmgmt 	1.848ms : sql select				
 accountmgmt 	 766µ : mysqldb:select 				
 accountmgmt 	1.048ms : sql select				
 accountmgmt 	 600µ : mysqldb:select 				
 accountmgmt 	 1.070ms : sql select 				
 accountmgmt 	 783µ : mysqldb:select 				
 accountmgmt 	 940µ : sql select 				
 accountmgmt 	 624µ : mysqldb:select 				
 accountmgmt 	- 1.130ms : sql select				
 accountmgmt 	 791µ : mysqldb:select 				
 accountmgmt 	- 2.553ms : sql select				
 accountmgmt 	 814µ : mysqldb:select 				
 accountmgmt 	 751µ : sql select 				
 accountmgmt 	 495µ : mysqldb:select 				
 accountmgmt 	- 956µ : sql select				
 accountmgmt 	 734µ : mysqldb:select 				
 accountmgmt 	- 722µ: sql select				
 accountmgmt 	 493µ : mysqldb:select 				
 accountmgmt 	- 698µ : sql select				
 accountmgmt 	 469µ : mysqldb:select 				
 accountmgmt 	- 692µ : sql select				
 accountmgmt 	- 479µ: mysqldb:select				
 accountmgmt 	- 669µ : sql select				
 accountmgmt 	 455µ : mysqldb:select 				
 accountmgmt 	 702µ : sql select 				
 accountmgmt 	 475µ : mysqldb:select 				
= accountmgmt	719µ : sql select				

(as of 2016)



Microservices

- Building applications as suite of small and easy to replace services
 - fine grained, one functionality per service (sometimes 3-5 classes)
 - o composable
 - easy to develop, test, and understand
 - o fast (re)start, fault isolation
 - modelled around business domain
- Interplay of different systems and languages
- Easily deployable and replicable
- Embrace automation, embrace faults
- Highly observable

Service Oriented Architectures (SOA)

- Service: self-contained functionality
- Remote invocation, languageindependent interface
- Dynamic lookup possible
- Often used to wrap legacy systems

institute for



Service Oriented Architectures (SOA) Microservice Architecture

- Service: self-contained functionality
- Language-independent interface
- Dynamic lookup

institute for





Technical Considerations

- HTTP/REST/JSON/GRPC/etc. communication
- Independent development and deployment
- Self-contained services (e.g., each with own database)
 multiple instances behind load-balancer
- Streamline deployment



microservices - application databases

monolith - single database

institute for SOFTWARE RESEARCH School of Computer Science

Hipster Shop

Hipster Shop User Interface





Hipster Shop Bingo Game

Microservice Bingo

FREE SPOT Frontend	

Make a copy of the first slide for your group.

Add your Andrew IDs to the slide.

https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1P7X7nFMIWAQW12kOk6pW66jtk34S_j_RnCt2c3BwMvM/edit?usp=sharing



Hipster Shop Microservice Architecture



https://github.com/GoogleCloudPlatform/microservices-demo



Microservices overhead



but remember the skill of the team will outweigh any monolith/microservice choice





Cinema Diagram



https://codeahoy.com/2016/07/10/writing-microservices-in-python-using-flask/ https://github.com/umermansoor/microservices/



Cinema Code Walkthrough

Drawbacks

- Complexities of distributed systems
 - o network latency, faults, inconsistencies
 - testing challenges
- Resource overhead, RPCs
- Shifting complexities to the network
- Operational complexity
- Frequently adopted by breaking down monolithic application
- HTTP/REST/JSON communication
 - o Schemas?

Discussion of Microservices

- Are they really "new"?
- Do microservices solve problems, or push them down the line?
- What are the impacts of the added flexibility?
- Beware "cargo cult"
- "If you can't build a well-structured monolith, what makes you think microservices is the answer?" – Simon Brown
- Leads to more API design decisions





Serverless



institute for SOFTWARE RESEARCH

Carnegie Mellon University School of Computer Science

34



institute for SOFTWARE RESEARCH



institute for SOFTWARE RESEARCH

Serverless (Functions-as-a-Service)

- "extreme" use of microservices
- Instead of writing minimal services, write just functions
- No state, rely completely on cloud storage or other cloud services
- Pay-per-invocation billing with elastic scalability
- Drawback: more ways things can fail, state is expensive
- Examples: AWS lambda, CloudFlare workers, Azure Functions
- What might this be good for?
- (New in 2019/20) Stateful Functions: Azure Durable Entities, CloudFlare Durable Objects



