

# QA: ML

### 17-313 Fall 2022

Rohan Padhye, Michael Hilton, Chris Timperley, and Daye Nam



## **Learning Goals**

- Understand challenges for QA of ML systems
- Be able to test assumptions about the data
- Detect changes in the model over time
- List quality attributes to consider in building ML systems
- Understand the importance of interpretability



## Activity

- Develop one candidate AI feature of the scooter app (e.g., surge prediction)
- Come up with (at least 3) test plans.





### What does it mean to do QA for a ML System?



Figure 1: Only a small fraction of real-world ML systems is composed of the ML code, as shown by the small black box in the middle. The required surrounding infrastructure is vast and complex.



### What does it mean to do QA for a ML System?



Model Debugging, Validation, and Testing



## **Data Debugging**

- Data Collection: Validate Input Data Using a Data Schema
  - For your feature data, understand the range and distribution. For categorical features, understand the set of possible values.
  - Encode your understanding into rules defined in the schema.
  - Test your data against the data schema.



## **QA for Data**

- Data Verification:
  - All numeric features are scaled, for example, between 0 and 1.
  - One-hot encoded vectors only contain a single 1 and N-1 zeroes.
  - Missing data is replaced by mean or default values.
  - Data distributions after transformation conform to expectations.
  - Outliers are handled, such as by scaling or clipping.
- Feature Extraction:
  - Are any features in your model redundant or unnecessary?



## **QA for ML Model**

- Check that the data can predict the labels.
  - Use some examples from your dataset that the model can easily learn from. Alternatively, use synthetic data.
- Establish a baseline
  - Use a linear model trained solely on most predictive feature
  - In classification, always predict the most common label
  - In regression, always predict the mean value



https://ai.google/responsibilities/responsible-ai-practices/



**RESPONSIBILITIES** >

### **Responsible AI Practices**

The development of AI is creating new opportunities to improve the lives of people around the world, from business to healthcare to education. It is also raising new questions about the best way to build fairness, interpretability, privacy, and security into these systems.



## Test, Test, Test

- Conduct rigorous **unit tests** to test each component of the system in isolation.
- Conduct **integration tests** to understand how individual ML components interact with other parts of the overall system.
- Proactively detect **input drift** by testing the statistics of the inputs to the AI system to make sure they are not changing in unexpected ways.



## Test, Test, Test

- Use a gold standard dataset to test the system and ensure that it **continues to behave as expected**. Update this test set regularly in line with changing users and use cases, and to reduce the likelihood of training on the test set.
- Conduct iterative user testing to incorporate a diverse set of users' needs in the development cycles.
- Apply the quality engineering principle of poka-yoke: build quality checks into a system, so that unintended failures either cannot happen or **trigger an immediate response** (e.g., if an important feature is unexpectedly missing, the AI system won't output a prediction).



Adapted from Interpretability and Explainability in Machine Learning Lakkaraju, Himabindu (Harvard University)

## Test, Test, Test





#### Identify multiple metrics to assess training and monitoring

- Does your data contain any mistakes (e.g., missing values, incorrect labels)?
- Is your data sampled in a way that represents your users, and the real-world setting? Is the data accurate?
- Training-serving skew—the difference between performance during training and performance during serving—is a persistent challenge.
- Are any features in your model redundant or unnecessary? Use the simplest model that meets your performance goals.
- Data bias is another important consideration; learn more in practices on Al and fairness.



#### Understand the limitations of your dataset and model

- A model trained to detect correlations should not be used to make causal inferences, or imply that it can.
- It is important to communicate the scope and coverage of the training, hence clarifying the capability and limitations of the models.



#### Continue to monitor and update the system after deployment

- Issues will occur: any model of the world is imperfect almost by definition.
- Consider both short- and long-term solutions to issues.
- Before updating a deployed model, analyze how the candidate and deployed models differ, and how the update will affect the overall system quality and user experience.



### Use a human-centered design approach

- Design features with appropriate disclosures built-in
- Consider augmentation and assistance
- Model potential adverse feedback early in the design process, followed by specific live testing and iteration for a small fraction of traffic before full deployment.
- Engage with a diverse set of users and use-case scenarios, and incorporate feedback before and throughout project development.



## **Software qualities of ML systems**

#### What software qualities do we care about? (examples)

- Scalability
- Security
- Extensibility
- Documentation
- Performance
- Consistency
- Portability

- Installability
- Maintainability
- Functionality (e.g., data integrity)
- Availability
- Ease of use

ISC institute for SOFTWARE RESEARCH Carnegie Mellon University School of Computer Science

19

## Activity

• What are the quality attributes do you need to consider when building the new AI feature?





https://ckaestne.medium.com/quality-drivers-in-architectures-for-ml-enabled-systems-836f21c44334

## **Quality attributes of ML models**

- Interpretability (Explainability)
- Fairness
- Inference latency
- Inference throughput
- Scalability

- Model size
- Energy consumption
- Determinability
- Cost
- Robustness
- Privacy



## Interpretability

- ML systems are being deployed in complex high-stakes settings
- Safety, nondiscrimination ... are often hard to quantify
- Fallback option: interpretability/explainability
  - If the system can explain its reasoning, we can verify if that reasoning is sound



### Interpretability





### Interpretability

- Model debugging
- Auditing fairness, safety, security
- Trust
- Actionable insights to improve outcomes
- Regulation





https://towardsdatascience.com/the-balance-accuracy-vs-interpretability-1b3861408062

### Intrinsically interpretable models?



### **Explain models in a post-hoc manner**





Adapted from Human-AI Interaction Haiyi Zhu, Steven Wu (CMU)

### **Post-hoc Explanation Techniques**

- Typically consider the **complex model as a black box** 
  - No internal details of the complex model required, only query access
- Several types of post hoc explanation techniques
  - Local vs. Global approximations, Gradient based vs. perturbation based.
- Examples: LIME, SHAP, Anchor, MUSE, Gradient times Input, Integrated Gradients etc.



### **SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations)**



Luca Pacioli



Adapted from Human-Al Interaction Haiyi Zhu, Steven Wu (CMU)

### **SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations)**



#### Lloyd Shapley

- The Shapley value:
  - assigns payouts to players depending on their contribution to the total payout
- → How much does each attribute contribute to the prediction?



Adapted from Human-Al Interaction Haiyi Zhu, Steven Wu (CMU)

### **SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations)**





#### LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations)



While complex models have complex decision surfaces and are harder to explain globally, they may have simpler decision boundaries in local neighborhoods





ó x1

-1



## Activity

- Inclass demo from: <u>https://www.kaggle.com/vikumsw/explaining-random-forest-model-with-lime/notebook</u>
- Working with your team, start trying to get LIME to run with your actual code (this will get you started on HW5)

